



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/671,856	09/27/2000	Naoaki Komiya	YKI-0050	6714	
7	590 05/04/2005		EXAM	INER	
Michael A Cantor Esq			NGUYEN, KI	NGUYEN, KIMNHUNG T	
Cantor Colburn LLP 55 Griffin Road South Bloomfield, CT 06002			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2674	******	
			DATE MAILED: 05/04/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/671,856	KOMIYA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kimnhung Nguyen	2674				
The MAILING DATE of this communica						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) d.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  TO CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reposation.  ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty or period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <i>11/17/2005</i> .					
	☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for						
closed in accordance with the practice	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4 and 5</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,3 and 6</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2674

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This application has been examined. The claims 1-6 are pending. The examination results are as following.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claims 4-5 recites the limitation "said constant voltage" in line 14. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

### Claim Objections

4. Claims 1-6, on every word "capacitance" should replace with -- capacitor- -. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2674

6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sato (US 5,235,253).

Sato disclose in figure 2 an active matrix type electroluminescence display device comprising a plurality of display pixels arranged in a matrix of rows an columns, each of the display pixels including an electrolumninescence element CEL to which one of end of a capacitance for maintaining a voltage corresponding (see ground level) to a display signal is connected; and a capacitance line extending each row and connected to and shared by the other end of the capacitance of the display pixels; wherein the constant voltage Va is supplied from both ends of the capacitance line (because the voltage Va should have an inherent supplied from another side of capacitance lines).

#### Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 2-3 would be allowed if overcome objection as discussed above.

Claim 6 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The present invention is directed to an active matrix type electroluminescence display device comprising a plurality pixels, each including an electroluminescence element arranged in a matrix of rows and column, a first thin film transistor in which a display signal is applied to the drain and which is switched on and off in response to select signal, a capacitance having one end connected to the source of the first thin film transistor and for maintaining a voltage corresponding to the display signal and

Application/Control Number: 09/671,856

Art Unit: 2674

a second thin film transistor for driving the electroluminescence element based on the display signal; and a capacitance lines extending each row and connected to and shared by the other end of the capacitance of the display pixels; and a second capacitance line connected to first ends of said plurality of first capacitance lines. The closest prior art, Sato (5,235,253) discloses a similar system an active matrix type electroluminescence, he also discloses a first thin film transistor in which a display signal is applied to the drain and which is switched on and off in response to select signal, a capacitance having one end connected to the source of the first thin film transistor and for maintaining a voltage corresponding to the display signal and a second thin film transistor for driving the electroluminescence element based on the display signal; and a capacitance lines extending each row and connected to and shared by the other end of the capacitance of the display pixels; and a second capacitance line connected to first ends of said plurality of first capacitance lines. However, he fail to teach a second capacitance line connected to first ends of said plurality of first capacitance lines, wherein said second and third capacitance are connected to a common constant voltage source, and said constant voltage is supplied to said first ends and said second ends of plurality of first capacitance lines through said second and third capacitance lines; a plurality of second capacitance lines connected to and shared by both ends of plurality of first capacitance lines; wherein a constant voltage is supplied to said second capacitance lines as claims 2-3 and 6.

Page 4

## Response To Arguments

8. Applicant's argument filed on 11-17-04 has been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 2674

Applicant argues that Sato does not teach a constant voltage is supplied from both ends of said capacitance lines. Examiner has disagreed with that, because Sato discloses in fig. 2 should have an inherent Va also supplied from another side of capacitance lines.

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

#### Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimnhung Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-7698. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-FRI, FROM 8:30 AM-5:30 PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/671,856 Page 6

Art Unit: 2674

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Edouard can be reached on (571) 272-7603. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kimnhung Nguyen April 21, 2005

ALEXANDER EISEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600